

Note On Analytic Functors As Fourier Transforms.

Brian J. DAY

April 19, 2009.

Abstract: Several notions of “analytic” functor introduced recently in the literature fit into the graphic fourier transform context presented in [D].

Various concepts of “analytic” functor are well characterized in different places in the literature. Here we want to mention explicitly how two ideas, introduced in [AV] and [FGHW], can also be viewed from [D]. However, we don’t offer further characterization results here.

Example [FGHW]:

Let $N : \mathcal{BA} \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$ be the canonical “inclusion” functor from the (monoidal) groupoid \mathcal{BA} constructed in [FGHW] from the small \mathbf{Set} -category \mathcal{A} ; i.e. \mathcal{BA} is the groupoid of all isomorphisms in the free finite-coproduct completion of \mathcal{A} in $[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$. Then, assuming (here) that \mathcal{C} is small, the functor

$$\exists_N : [\mathcal{BA}, [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]] \rightarrow [[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}], [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]]$$

which is precisely the process of left Kan extension along N , is conservative (because \mathcal{BA} is a groupoid) and tensor product preserving (because N preserves finite coproducts). This is then consistent with [D] for $\mathcal{V} = [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$ (cartesian monoidal).

Example [AV]:

In [AV] Remark 4.5, the authors complain (justly) that many of their “analytic” functors for $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$ are not k -linear. But this is not too serious a matter because the set-up in [D] permits a k -linearization (this is not a tautology, but merely an adjunction). Thus the “ordinary” kernel discussed in [AV] §4, namely

$$K : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{V}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_0, \quad K(n, X) = \otimes^n X,$$

yields the corresponding (multiplicative) \mathcal{V} -kernel

$$E : k_* \mathcal{B} \otimes k_* \mathcal{V}_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} k_*(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{V}_0) \xrightarrow{k_* K} k_* \mathcal{V}_0 \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} \mathcal{V}$$

where k_* denotes the free- \mathbf{Vect}_k -structure functor, and \mathcal{V}_0 is the ordinary category underlying \mathcal{V} (here $k_* \mathcal{V}_0$ has the comonoidal structure directly induced by that on \mathcal{V}_0). Then the \mathcal{V} -functor

$$\overline{E} : [k_* \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{V}] \longrightarrow [k_* \mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}],$$

is conservative (since \mathcal{B} is a groupoid) and tensor product preserving (since E is multiplicative). The Fourier transforms $\overline{E}(f)$ can thus be viewed as either k -linear “ E -analytic” functors

$$k_* \mathcal{V}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V},$$

or just ordinary “[AV]-analytic” functors

$$\mathcal{V}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_0,$$

in the sense of [AV] Definition 4.1. Then the considerations of [D] Section 1.3 apply.

Remark 1 *The term “analytic” functor seems quite appropriate in such cases.*

Example [D]:

A type of “quantum category” example evolves from any \mathcal{V} -promonoidal category (\mathcal{A}, p, j) . Namely, the left “Cayley” functor

$$\overline{K} : [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \longrightarrow [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$$

given by

$$\overline{K}(f)(A, B) = \int^X p(X, A, B) \otimes f(X),$$

the \mathcal{V} -kernel functor

$$K : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

here being just the promultiplication p . This \overline{K} is both conservative and tensor preserving, where $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$ has the convolution structure and $[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$ has the tensor product defined by bimodule composition. Thus \overline{K} qualifies as a “Fourier transformation” [D].

References.

- [AV] J. Adamek and J. Velebil, “Analytic functors and weak pullbacks”, Theory Appl. Categories, 21(11), (2008) 191-209.
- [D] B. J. Day, “Monoidal functor categories and graphic Fourier transforms”, arXiv:mathQA/0612496v1, 18 Dec. 2006.
- [FGHW] M. Fiore, N. Gambino, M. Hyland and G. Winskel, “The cartesian closed category of generalized species of structures”, London Math. Soc. (2007), 1-18.

Mathematics Dept., Faculty of Science, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia.

Any replies are welcome through Tom Booker (thomas.booker@students.mq.edu.au),
who kindly typed the manuscript.